

# Didactic Erasmus Bingo: A Playful Tool for Evaluating Teaching Methods in Higher Education

**Marek Smulczyk**University of Warsaw | PL





### Didactic Erasmus Bingo: A Playful Tool for Evaluating Teaching Methods in Higher Education

Marek Smulczyk, University of Warsaw, PL





### Why good evaluation practices matter?

Evaluation = a tool for growth, not control.

Helps identify effective teaching and learning processes.

Provides timely, actionable insights - not just end-of-

semester data.

Builds trust and dialogue between teachers, students, and institutions.



### Principles of effective teaching evaluation

**Transparency** – clear purpose and criteria communicated to all. **Diversity of methods** – combine surveys, peer observation, and learning analytics.

**Formative focus** – continuous improvement over judgment. **Participation** – involve both teachers and students in design and interpretation.

**Feedback loop** – ensure results lead to visible actions and improvements.



### Methods that work

**Peer observation schemes**  $\rightarrow$  structured collegial feedback. **Mid-semester check-ins**  $\rightarrow$  quick pulse surveys or classroom observations.

**Student-teacher reflection sessions**  $\rightarrow$  discussing what helps learning.

**Playful tools** → such as *Didactic Bingo*, encouraging active observation.



### How to make evaluation useful

Integrate feedback into staff development plans. Share good practices openly (e.g., Teaching Excellence Days,

internal networks).

Recognize and reward innovation in teaching.

Ensure leadership commitment and adequate time for reflection.

Use digital tools for easy data collection and visualization.



### Why rethink teaching evaluation?

Traditional surveys ≠ real teaching quality.

Over-reliance on end-of-course questionnaires.

Low validity and reliability.

Evaluation often confuses course quality with teacher personality.



### **Need for tools that:**

engage students actively, foster reflection, provide formative feedback.



### Challenges in evaluating university teaching

Lack of trust in evaluation results. "Evaluation fatigue" among both staff and students. Overly quantitative, impersonal instruments. Limited use of results for improvement.

Goal: create a simple, engaging and evidence-based evaluation tool supporting continuous improvement.



### Origins of the project

Developed during the course "Empowering Change: Designing Social Innovations in Education" University of Warsaw, Spring 2025. Team: 7 Erasmus students from different European universities.

Aim: design a playful evaluation tool combining academic rigor and student creativity. Language of work: English (later translated into Polish)



### **Project assumptions**

Tool must be: easy to use, research-informed, interculturally adaptable, fun!

Inspired by "Bingo" game mechanics → observation + reflection = learning.

Dual function: (1) collecting feedback, (2) promoting reflection on teaching/learning.



### How we made it!

### **Stage 1: Literature review**

Analysis of modern didactic theories and frameworks: Constructivism, Kolb's learning cycle, Bloom's taxonomy, Student-Centered Learning, Rosenshine's *Principles of Instruction*.

4EU+ Framework: research-based, active, critical, self-directed, inclusive education.

Outcome → list of 80 observable teaching behaviours.





Aim: clarity and uniqueness of items. Conducted by advanced pedagogy students.

Result: reduced to 38 clear, non-overlapping behaviours.



## Stage 3: Online study 1 academic teachers (N = 67)

Participants from 7 countries.

Rated usefulness of each practice (1–5 scale).

Mean = 4.00 / 5.

Most valued



| Teacher used real-life examples related to the subject                   | 4,66 |  |  |
|--|------|--|--|
| Teacher created a friendly atmosphere                                    | 4,61 |  |  |
| Teacher gives enough time to the students to answer the questions        |      |  |  |
| Teacher listens the opinion of the students                              |      |  |  |
| Teacher motivates students to see mistakes as a natural part of learning | 4,43 |  |  |
| Teacher repeats the material when necessary                              | 4,40 |  |  |
| Teacher proposes activities accessible to all students                   |      |  |  |
| Teacher explains the goal of the lesson                                  | 4,37 |  |  |
| Teacher asks a set of questions related to subjects                      | 4,35 |  |  |
| Teacher checks for understanding before moving on                        | 4,31 |  |  |
| Teacher gives feedback or corrects errors during practice                | 4,31 |  |  |

### Stage 4: Online study 2 Students (N = 97)



Participants from 12 countries (Erasmus+ network). Mean = 3.90 / 5.



### **Stage 5: Matching indicators**

Combined teacher + student ratings. Created sum index (2–10 points) to identify shared priorities.

Final 24 practices with highest scores included in Didactic Bingo.



### What is "Didactic Bingo"?

Interactive observation form of Bingo card (5×5). Each field = a good teaching practice (e.g. "The teacher checks understanding before moving on"). One blank field → student's own observation. Used during classes – students mark observed behaviours.



Mark the behaviors that occurred during the class you attended. In empty tiles, write what you observed that is not on our list.

| 1 |  |   |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
|   | Teacher<br>facilitates a<br>debate during<br>class | Teacher gives enough time to the students to answer the questions | Teacher<br>motivates<br>students to see<br>mistakes as a<br>natural part of<br>learning |   | Teacher gives<br>feedback or<br>corrects errors<br>during practice |
|   |  | Teacher<br>facilitates case-<br>study<br>discussions              | Teacher keeps<br>the class<br>focused   | Teacher checks<br>the student's<br>understanding of<br>new material | Teacher<br>encourages<br>independent<br>practice                   |





### **Dual function of the tool**

| FOR TEACHERS   |  |                                     |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| self-evaluation  |  |                                     |
| on on learning identification of strong & weak points ent & motivation planning improvements |  |                                     |
|  |  | data for mentoring or peer feedback |
|  |  |                                     |



### Implementation options

Individual or group observation.
Used in lectures, workshops, seminars.
Anonymous or open feedback form.

Can support: mentoring programs, peer observation, teacher development workshops.



### Research insights & potential

#### First results:

High engagement among students.

Positive reception by lecturers ("easy, constructive, non-threatening").

Revealed gaps in practice  $\rightarrow$  evidence for training needs. Encouraged dialogue teacher  $\leftrightarrow$  student.



### Didactic and institutional potential

Strengthens evaluation culture in higher education. Supports reflective and inclusive teaching. Builds bridges between international students and local staff.

Adaptable for: intercultural classrooms, teacher training courses, peer observation protocols.



### **Broader impact**

Encourages playful learning & feedback literacy. Can be localized (different languages/cultures). Promotes open academic atmosphere

Fits into European values of cooperation, transparency and student partnership.



### Conclusions

"Didactic Bingo" combines *rigor* + *fun*. Simple → yet meaningful feedback tool. Enhances student agency, teacher reflection, and intercultural understanding.

Next steps: further testing, digital version (mobile / online), adaptation in partner universities.



### Thank you!

Marek Smulczyk m.smulczyk@uw.edu.pl

